

ERLANG Functional Programming in industry

Leslaw Lopacki leslaw@lopacki.net

Courtesy of Urban Boquist and Christer Nilsson (Ericsson Gothenburg)

Outline

Mobile Telecommunications Networks

- Packet Core Network GPRS, UMTS & SGSN
- Use of Erlang in SGSN
- SGSN Design Principles for Erlang:
 - concurrency
 - distribution
 - fault tolerance
 - overload protection
 - runtime code replacement
- Erlang basics and examples

Mobile Telecommunications Networks - GSM

Services in telecommunications networks:

CS – circuit switched

voiceSMS

PS – packet switched

- everything that is "IP"
- wap / www
- email
- MMS

GPRS - General Packet Radio Service

Packet Core Network



Figure: User Plane through the GSM network

GSN (GPRS Support Network) nodes:

- SGSN Serving GSN
- GGSN Gateway GSN
- Basic throughput:
 - Up to 115 kbps with GPRS
 - Up to 240 kbps with EDGE Enhanced Data Rates for GSM Evolution

PCN in "3G" and "Turbo-3G" – WCDMA and HSDPA

Different Radio Network

- Packet Core Network (almost) the same as the one in GPRS
- Ericsson SGSN is "dual access" GPRS and WCDMA in one
- Much higher (end user) speeds: Up to 384 kbps for 3G (WCDMA) Up to 14.4 Mbps for HSDPA (later up to 42 Mbit – Evolved HSPA)
- Voice / video calls are still CS!
- Streaming video is PS (TV == MBMS – Multimedia Broadcast Multicast Service)
- Future: voice / video in PS
- "Voice-over-IP"

Ericsson SGSN Node





SGSN – Basic Services

Control Signalling

- authentication
- admission control
- quality of service
- mobility
- ▶ roaming
 - - -

Payload transportuser trafficcharging

SGSN Architecture



SGSN Hardware

► ≈ 20-30 Control Processors (boards):

- UltraSPARC or PowerPC CPUs
- 2 GB memory
- Solaris/Linux + Erlang / C / C++
- ▶ \approx 20-30 Payload Processors (boards):
 - PowerPC CPUs
 - Special hardware (FPGAs) for encryption
 - Physical devices: frame relay, atm, ...
 - VxWorks + C / C++

Backplane: 1 Gbit Ethernet

SGSN Control Signalling

attach (phone is turned on)

israu (routing area update, mobility in radio network)

- activation (initiate payload traffic)
- etc. [hundreds of signals]

Telecom standards are HUGE (see www.3gpp.org)!

We need a high level language – concentrate on GPRS, not on programming details!

Erlang/OTP

Invented at Ericsson Computer Science Lab in the 1980s.
Intended for large scale reliable telecom systems.
Erlang is:

functional language
with built-in support for concurrency

OTP (Open Telecom Platform)

= Erlang + lots of libraries.

Why Erlang?

Good things in Erlang:

- built-in concurrency (processes and message passing)
- built-in distribution
- built-in fault-tolerance
- support for runtime code replacement
- a dynamic language
- a dynamically typed language

This is exactly what is needed to build a robust Control Plane in a telecom system!

In SGSN:

Control Plane Software is not time critical (Erlang)

User Plane (payload) is time critical (C)

Erlang – Concurrency

"Normal" synchronization primitives - semaphores or monitors

- does not look the same in Erlang
- instead everything is done with processes and message passing.

Mutual exclusion:

- use a single process to handle resource
- clients call process to get access.
- Critical sections:
 - allow only one process to execute section

Erlang - Distribution

General rule in SGSN:

- avoid remote communication or synchronization if possible
- Design algorithms that work independently on each node:
 - fault tolerance
 - load balancing
- Avoid relying on global resources
 - Data handling:
 - keep as much locally as possible (typically traffic data associated with mobile phones)
 - some data must be distributed / shared (e.g. using mnesia)
 - many different variants of persistency, redundancy, replication

Fault Tolerance

SGSN must never be out-of-service!

(99.999%)

- Hardware fault tolerance
 - Faulty boards are automatically taken out of service
 - Mobile phones automatically redistributed
- Software fault tolerance
 - SW error triggered by one phone should not affect others!
 - Serious error in "system SW" should affect at most the phones handled by that board (not the whole node)

How can such requirements be realized?

Example: the SW handling one phone goes crazy and overwrites all the memory with garbage.

SGSN Architecture – Control Plane



> On each CP \approx 100 processes providing "system services"

- "static workers"
- ▶ On each CP \approx 50.000 processes each handling one phone
 - "dynamic workers"

Dynamic workers

System principle:

- one Erlang process handles all signalling with a single mobile phone
- When a signal received in payload plane:
 - payload plane translates a "signal" from the mobile phone into an Erlang message
 - then sends it to the correct dynamic worker, and vice versa
 - A worker has a state machine:
 - receive a signal do some computation send a reply signal
 - a little bit like an Entity Bean in J2EE

Dynamic workers cont.

A process crash should never affect other mobiles:

- Erlang guarantees memory protection
- SW errors in SGSN:
 - lead to a short service outage for the phone
 - dynamic worker will be restarted after the crash
- Same for SW errors in MS:
 - e.g. failure to follow standards will crash dynamic worker (offensive programming)

Supervision and Escalation

Worker1

Worker2

Worker3

Crash of worker is noticed by supervisor
 Supervisor triggers "recovery action"
 Either the crashed worker is restarted *or* All workers are killed and restarted

Runtime code replacement

Fact: SW is never bug free!

- Must be able to install error corrections into already delivered systems without disturbing operation
- Erlang can load a new version of a module in a running system

Be careful!

Code loading requires co-operation from the running SW and great care from the SW designer

Overload Protection

If CPU load or memory usage goes to high SGSN will not accept new connections from mobile phones
The SGSN must never stop to "respond" because of overload, better to skip service for some phones
Realized in message passing - if OLP hits messages are discarded:

- silently dropped
- or a denial reply generated

Erlang basic syntax

- Erlang shell : erl
- Modules and Functions: -module(my_mod). -export(double/1).
 - double(X) \rightarrow 2 * X.
- Calling double/1: my_mod:double(4).
- Atoms:
 - cat, dog, home, a2 ..

- ► Tuples :
 - {1,2,cat,home}
- ► Lists :
 - [{1,2,cat,home},1,2,3]
- Variables :
 - A = $\{2,3,horse,stable\}$. B = $[\{1,2,cat,home\},1,2,3]$. Var = [A|B].
- Writing to output:
 - io:format("Hello world").

Erlang syntax - case and functional clause

Case clause - case and pattern matching:

Function clause:

hello({_,_,cat,X}) -> io:format("Hello Cat"),X; hello({_,_,horse,X}) -> io:format("Hello Horse"),X. hello(_) -> io:format("No entrance"),none.

Erlang syntax - Recursion

Simple:

-module(fact).
-export([fact1/1]).

fact1(0) ->
 1;
fact1(N) ->
 N*fact1(N-1).

Optimal - tail recursive:

-module(fact).
-export([fact2/1]).

fact2(N) ->
 fact2(N,1).
fact2(0,A) ->
 A;
fact2(N,A) ->
 fact2(N,A) ->
 fact2(N-1,N*A).

Erlang advanced syntax

Dynamic code:

```
Fun = fun(Var)
case Var of
{_.,_.,cat,X} -> io:format("Hello Cat"),X;
{_.,_.,horse,X} -> io:format("Hello Horse"),X;
_ -> io:format("Not welcome here"),none
end.
```

Calling Fun:

 $Fun(\{1,2,cat,home\}).$

Passing Fun to another function:

```
call_fun(Fun,[]) -> ok;
call_fun(Fun,[X|T]) -> Fun(X), call_fun(Fun,T).
...
List = [{1,2,cat,home},{2,3,horse,stable}].
call_fun(Fun,List).
```

Erlang message passing



Example cont. - gen_server

sender:

```
•••
   Ret = gen_server:call(Pid, Msg),
   ...
receiver:
   handle_call(Msg) ->
      case Msg of
          \{add, N\} \rightarrow
              \{reply, N + 1\};
          • • •
      end.
```

What about "functional programming"?

Designers implementing the GPRS standards should not need to bother with programming details.
Framework code offers lots of "abstractions" to help out.
Almost like a DSL (domain specific language).
To realize this, functional programming is very good!

But to summarize: FP is a great help – but not vital. Or?

Conclusions

Pros:

Erlang works well for GPRS traffic control handling

- High level language concentrate on important parts
- Has the right capabilities:
 - fault tolerance
 - distribution
 -

Cons:

Hard to find good Erlang programmers

Erlang/OTP not yet a main stream language

- Insufficient programming environments (debugging, modelling, etc)
- Single implementation maintained by too few people bugs

High level language – easy to create a real mess in just a few lines of code...

Links and References

Erlang site: <u>http://www.erlang.org</u>

 Erlang User Conference (Nov 2008)
 Erlang Community: <u>http://trapexit.org</u>

Erlang group on LinkedIn

Books

 J. Armstrong *"Programming Erlang"* J. Armstrong, R. Virding, C. Wikström, M. Williams *"Concurrent Programming in Erlang"*



Programming Erlang



Longstong.

Concurrent Programming in ERLANG

Second Edition

Joe Armstrong Robert Virding Claes Wikström Mike Williams

